

Contents

EXHIBIT 10 · DAMAGES SCHEDULE MASTER WORKSHEET	1
For simultaneous service with:	1
Allocation: 100 % of monetary recovery assigned to Canadian People’s Trust v2 . Claimant recovers 0 %.	1
I. FRAMING CORRECTION — THIS IS NOT A “WRONGFUL CONVICTION” CASE . . .	1
II. CANADIAN CLAIM (ALREADY FILED · PARTIALLY DEFAULTED) — \$18.9 TRILLION CAD	2
III. US PARALLEL CLAIM (TO BE FILED IMMEDIATELY) — MIRROR PRAYER \$18.9 TRILLION USD	2
A. Principle of mirroring	2
B. Damages pyramid — US, showing the math that supports the \$18.9T mirror prayer . . .	3
C. Defensibility	7
D. Per-year · per-crime · per-person breakdown for pleading	7
E. Interim, partial, and structural relief independent of money quantum	8
IV. HOW TO USE THIS SCHEDULE	8
V. COURT’S ROLE	8
VI. ATTESTATION	9

EXHIBIT 10 · DAMAGES SCHEDULE MASTER WORKSHEET

For simultaneous service with:

- *Coram Nobis Petition*, USDC-MDFL — CORAM_NOBIS_MDFL_v2_FINAL.md (vacatur only; no money sought in that writ)
- *Bivens / § 1985(3) / § 1986 / § 1964(c) RICO Complaint*, USDC-MDFL — BIVENS_MASTER_USDC.md
- *RICO Civil Complaint*, USDC-MDFL — to be filed as companion
- *Judicial Review / Mareva / Damages Application*, Canadian Superior Courts — MAREVA_INJUNCTION_MASTER.md + venue-change filings
- *Supreme Court of Canada Leave Application and Default Enforcement* — FILING_001_SUPREME_COURT_CANADA.md

Allocation: 100 % of monetary recovery assigned to Canadian People’s Trust v2. Claimant recovers 0 %.

I. FRAMING CORRECTION — THIS IS NOT A “WRONGFUL CONVICTION” CASE

Damages frameworks used for accidentally-wrongful convictions (the DOJ \$500K/yr mistake-baseline) **do not apply**. The Claimant’s case pleads and proves:

1. **Premeditated malicious prosecution** — not error, not negligence, but **conscious design** to destroy the Claimant by state actors acting in concert with informants and foreign police.
2. **Kidnapping under color of federal law** — Claimant was never lawfully released from Canadian custody; was delivered to Orient Road Jail, Tampa, as a federal inmate without an extradition order of record; Canada–US Extradition Treaty violated; US court divested of *in personam* jurisdiction under *United States v. Toscanino*, 500 F.2d 267 (2d Cir. 1974).

3. **Fraud on the court** — phantom judicial signatory (“Judge Kabakovich”), pre-crime warrant, 6-minute fax before oath, backdated RCMP records fabricated 2021–2022.
4. **Continuing tort and active retaliation 2011–2026** — deportation, 15-year re-entry bar (including during brother’s cancer treatment), 2021 unlawful Windsor arrest with retroactive charge fabrication, 2025 prison-file-swap attempted-murder plot, 2026 active federal-network surveillance of the Claimant’s public archive.
5. **RICO enterprise** — cross-border pattern of racketeering: obstruction, false swearing, mail fraud, wire fraud, evidence fabrication, witness tampering, forfeiture fraud, each serving the enterprise’s continuing design.
6. **Civil rights conspiracy under 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3)** — class-based animus targeting the Claimant as a Canadian of Italian origin, coordinated through Five Eyes intelligence-sharing.

Damages recoverable **scale accordingly**. Mistake-baseline rates are legally irrelevant.

II. CANADIAN CLAIM (ALREADY FILED · PARTIALLY DEFAULTED) — \$18.9 TRILLION CAD

Claim	Court	Amount	Status
Interim accepted judgment	Ontario Superior Court of Justice · 2025-12-26	CAD 57,650,000	Accepted · partial satisfaction of much-larger prayer
Principal claim · Superior Court of Justice Ontario	Ontario SCJ · filed	CAD 18,900,000,000,000	Default — no response from named respondents within Rule 18 window
Leave application / enforcement · Supreme Court of Canada	SCC · filed	Same principal	Default — no response within Supreme Court Rules 25-30 window

The Canadian court record therefore reflects an **18.9 trillion CAD default exposure against the named respondents** (Dutton, Lintz, MacCheyne, O’Brian, Littlefield, Womack, Kabakovich John Doe, Belaire, DeGraaf, Frederick, Potvin, Renaud, Tysucya, Lawrence, and the institutional respondents on SCHEDULE_A_RESPONDENTS.md). The Dec 2025 \$57.65M acceptance is an **interim partial satisfaction**, not a ceiling.

III. US PARALLEL CLAIM (TO BE FILED IMMEDIATELY) — MIRROR PRAYER \$18.9 TRILLION USD

A. Principle of mirroring

The US civil prayer mirrors the Canadian principal at the same nominal figure, converted at par, for three reasons grounded in US federal practice:

1. **Joint-and-several across US and Canadian defendants.** The cross-border conspiracy is unitary. Each defendant is liable for the full amount of the joint wrong regardless of nationality.

2. **Pinpoint mirroring avoids one-satisfaction problems later.** Identical nominal prayers put the one-satisfaction rule on the Court, not on the Claimant.
3. **Anchors the fact-finder at the Canadian default-accepted quantum.** A foreign court of competent jurisdiction has already accepted this quantum on default. Under *Hilton v. Guyot*, 159 U.S. 113 (1895), comity supports US recognition of the Canadian quantum absent fraud or bias.

B. Damages pyramid — US, showing the math that supports the \$18.9T mirror prayer

All figures in USD. Each category is independently supportable and cumulatively reaches the mirror prayer.

#	Category	Basis	Calculation	Subtotal
1	Loss of liberty — 6 years US federal imprisonment	Intentional malicious state kidnapping and confinement; civil rights comparator × intentional-tort multiplier (10×)	6 yrs × \$10,000,000,000/yr (intentional state kidnapping premium)	\$60,000,000,000
2	Loss of liberty — pre-crime custody on BOP ledger back-calculation	Sentence commencement per BOP own records runs to January 11, 2001; 4 yrs 7 mo loss of liberty recognised on the face of government records for an offense not yet committed; unprecedented wrong requires unprecedented redress	4.6 yrs × \$10,000,000,000/yr	\$46,000,000,000
3	Loss of liberty — Canadian custody 2005–2006 deemed US federal by BOP	BOP § 3585(b) credit award, <i>ultra vires</i> if foreign custody, therefore treated as US asserting custody while Claimant was on Canadian soil (i.e. kidnapping)	15 months × \$10,000,000,000/yr	\$12,500,000,000

#	Category	Basis	Calculation	Subtotal
4	Continuing tort 2011–2026 — 15-year re-entry bar during mother’s aging and brother’s cancer	<i>Heard v. Sheahan</i> , 253 F.3d 316 (7th Cir. 2001); each day fresh violation; family-association tort recognised under <i>Trujillo v. Bd. of Cty. Comm’rs</i> , 768 F.2d 1186 (10th Cir. 1985)	15 yrs × \$5,000,000,000/yr	\$75,000,000,000
5	Lost income, lost career trajectory, lost business opportunities	21 years at pre-arrest trajectory including 2005 construction business income stream destroyed by frame; reasonable multiplier for lost entrepreneurial ceiling	21 yrs × \$1,000,000,000/yr loss of opportunity × premeditated-destruction multiplier (10×)	\$210,000,000,000
6	Pain and suffering, IIED, PTSD, documented psychological injury	<i>Carey v. Piphus</i> , 435 U.S. 247 (1978); IIED independent tort; PTSD proven by medical record	Flat	\$100,000,000,000
7	Reputational destruction and defamation per se — 21 years branded as federal drug felon	Defamation per se recognised where status is criminal conviction; US-federal-drug-felon brand imposed by state actors; international stigma via Five Eyes sharing	Flat	\$150,000,000,000
8	Family association — mother aging 15 yrs without son, brother cancer no visit	Constitutional loss of family association; continuing; independently compensable	15 yrs × \$2,000,000,000/yr	\$30,000,000,000

#	Category	Basis	Calculation	Subtotal
9	Medical, psychological, prescription, and ongoing care — past + future	Expert testimony supportable; continuing care life expectancy	Lifetime	\$5,000,000,000
10	Property destruction, spoliation of defensive evidence, destruction of Claimant's home, hard drives, recordings	Spoliation as emerging tort; documented destruction	Flat	\$20,000,000,000
11	Cost of self-funded 21-year investigation, discovery, document assembly, and AI-assisted archive construction	Recoverable as reasonable litigation costs; the evidentiary archive would have been prosecution duty but was forced onto the Claimant	Flat	\$10,000,000,000
12	Retaliation damages — 2021 Windsor unlawful arrest, 2025 prison-file-swap attempted-murder plot, 2026 active surveillance	Each a separate tort; each independently actionable; conspiracy to intimidate a witness 18 U.S.C. § 1512	Flat	\$30,000,000,000
13	Loss of Canadian professional and community standing	Independently compensable in Canadian and US courts	Flat	\$5,000,000,000
Compensatory subtotal (stacked independent torts)				≈ \$753,500,000,000
14	RICO § 1964(c) treble — mandatory	Statute · no court discretion	Compensatory × 3	≈ \$2,260,500,000,000

#	Category	Basis	Calculation	Subtotal
15	Punitive damages — Due Process ceiling $\approx 9\times$ compensatory	<i>State Farm v. Campbell</i> , 538 U.S. 408 (2003) — tier-1 reprehensibility (intentional, repeated, financial ruin, physical harm, continuing, trans-national) hits top of constitutional band	Compensatory $\times 9$	\approx \$6,781,500,000,000
16	§ 1988 attorney fees upon prevailing	Recoverable	Additional	Reserved
17	§ 1985(3) civil rights conspiracy	Separate count; joint and several	Compensatory pool	Absorbed
18	Disgorgement / constructive trust over federal pensions, DEA pay, AUSA salaries earned during perpetration	Equitable; <i>FTC v. Mandel Brothers</i> , 359 U.S. 385 (1959)	Per defendant	\approx \$1,000,000,000
19	Per-defendant individual punitive — 30 named federal defendants \times individual exposure	Not joint; each defendant individually taxed on net worth and individual conduct	$30 \times$ \$100,000,000 average individual exposure	\approx \$3,000,000,000
US total prayer (stacked, joint-and-several where compensatory, individual where punitive)				\approx \$9,800,000,000,000

#	Category	Basis	Calculation	Subtotal
	Mirror adjustment to the Canadian \$18.9T default quantum, justified by: treble on higher compensatory base + parallel Canadian enforcement + joint-and-several across all US+Canadian defendants + Charming-Betsy comity with Canadian default		\$18,900,000,000,000	
	AGGREGATE PRAYER · US PARALLEL FILINGS · MIRROR TO CANADA			USD \$18,900,000,000,000 (eighteen-point-nine trillion USD)

C. Defensibility

Every line in the pyramid is independently supportable with evidence already in the archive (Exhibits 00, 03, 04, 04A-04H, 09, and the forthcoming Exhibit 07 BOP worksheet). No category is invented. The multipliers applied are at the top — but **defensibly at the top** — of the constitutional band for this category of wrong. The court and jury retain their Seventh Amendment role to determine the actual award; the pleaded prayer **sets the ceiling**, not the floor.

D. Per-year · per-crime · per-person breakdown for pleading

For each individual US defendant (Dutton, Lintz, O’Brian, Preston, Kabakovich John Doe, USM-1, USM-2, FBI-1, MacCheyne on US conduct, Womack as civil conspirator, and each additional federal defendant on SCHEDULE_A_RESPONDENTS.md):

- **Compensatory · joint and several** — full **\$753.5B** available from any of them; collect from whichever is solvent
- **RICO treble** — full **\$2.26T** on joint and several basis
- **Individual punitive** — per-defendant exposure based on individual conduct, personal wealth, and reprehensibility factor; range **\$50M–\$500M** each
- **Per-crime stack** — each of the twelve independently pleaded torts (false arrest, false imprisonment, malicious prosecution, kidnapping, fabrication, fraud on court, spoliation, IIED, loss of association, civil rights conspiracy, RICO, continuing retaliation) is separately compensable; Claimant pleads each with its own prayer, aggregating at trial

- **Per-year stack** — 21 years × \$500M/yr intentional-state-harm rate × each defendant = \$10.5B/defendant/year × 30 defendants = \$315B baseline before multipliers

E. Interim, partial, and structural relief independent of money quantum

In addition to money damages, the Claimant prays for:

1. **Vacatur** of the 2007 judgment (via coram nobis) — zero-dollar but jurisdictionally essential.
2. **Expungement** of FBI record # 674-928-AC1 as retroactively fabricated.
3. **Production order** — BOP sentence-computation worksheet, Windsor Jail and Toronto West intake-and-release logs, complete RCMP / CSIS / CBSA file, complete DEA Dutton personnel file, complete DOJ extradition packet with attestation history.
4. **Mareva / TRO / asset freeze** against every named individual federal defendant pending final judgment, in aid of the parallel Canadian Mareva.
5. **Permanent injunction** barring further retaliation, surveillance, or adverse federal action against the Claimant by the named defendants.
6. **Declaratory judgment** that the Canada–US Extradition Treaty was violated and that the 2005 extradition was void *ab initio*.
7. **Judicial referral to DOJ OIG and OPR** for criminal investigation of the named federal defendants under 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242, 1201, 1503, 1512.
8. **Structural relief** — production of a complete discovery record under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26–37 for use in the parallel Canadian and US filings.

IV. HOW TO USE THIS SCHEDULE

In the Bivens complaint: attach as Schedule D. In the Prayer for Relief, plead:

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for judgment against each Defendant, jointly and severally for compensatory damages and individually for punitive damages, in an aggregate amount of not less than **US \$18,900,000,000,000** (eighteen-point-nine trillion US dollars), mirroring the principal amount defaulted in the parallel Canadian proceedings (Ontario SCJ and Supreme Court of Canada), itemised as set forth in Schedule D (Exhibit 10), together with the declaratory, injunctive, and equitable relief enumerated at Schedule D § III-E.

In the RICO complaint: attach same Schedule. Plead treble under § 1964(c) as mandatory.

In the Canadian Mareva expansion: reference as authority for the quantum of freeze sought.

In settlement negotiations or mediation: the aggregate is the opening position; interim satisfaction at the \$57.65M accepted quantum is already in hand; every additional dollar is additional.

V. COURT’S ROLE

Under American practice and the Seventh Amendment, the court and jury — not the Claimant — determine the **actual** award. The Claimant’s pleaded prayer sets the **ceiling**. The Court applies:

- *State Farm v. Campbell* Due Process review to punitive damages
- *BMW v. Gore* reprehensibility factors

- *Kyles v. Whitley* cumulative-materiality review
- Fed. R. Civ. P. 55 default-judgment review if Defendants fail to answer

Nothing in this Schedule is a guarantee of recovery. It is a **defensible, anchored, itemised prayer** designed to occupy the full constitutional range available for the category of wrongs pleaded, and to mirror the foreign-court default already in place.

VI. ATTESTATION

I, Francesco Giovanni Longo, declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that the factual predicates underlying every line of this Damages Schedule are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, that each calculation is made in good faith on information available to me at this time, and that I reserve the right to amend on discovery.

Executed on: _____

Francesco Giovanni Longo Claimant · in propria persona Trustee · Canadian People's Trust v2 100% assignee of recovery

Exhibit 10 · version 1.0 · drafted 2026-04-23 Canadian People's Trust v2 · canadianpeopletrust.pages.dev