

Cascade Notice · Longo Doctrine Proposition 4 · Cascading Authority on Default

CASCADE NOTICE

Notification of Formal Invocation of the Cascading Authority on Default Principle

Longo Doctrine · Proposition 4

Date: 29 May 2026 · approximately 02:30 EDT (06:30 UTC)

From: Francesco Giovanni Longo · Canadian citizen · Windsor,
Ontario · 226-260-6399 · flongo11@gmail.com · [https://
denialbydesign.org](https://denialbydesign.org)

To: the named recipient (one of approximately 80 institutional
addressees on the distribution roster appended at the end of this
notice)

Summary in three lines

1. The Cascading Authority on Default Principle — Proposition 4 of the Longo Doctrine — has been formally invoked in writing tonight by service of a Principal Demand for Judicial Order on the Hon. Pierre Poilievre, P.C., M.P., Leader of His Majesty's Loyal Opposition, with cryptographic delivery confirmation.
2. The Doctrine holds that when the judicial branch defaults on its non-discretionary duty to rule on habeas corpus, authority cascades to the next competent constitutional official. The full

doctrinal canonical statement now contains four propositions, the fourth added at 01:30 EDT on 29 May 2026 from voice attestation.

- You are receiving this notice because the cascade has now touched, or potentially touches, the office or institutional role you occupy.** What you are asked to do depends on which class of recipient you are, set out in Section IV below.

I · The two documents that constitute the cascade invocation

Document	Filed UTC	Brevo cryptographic message ID	Recipient
Principal Demand for Judicial Order · 150-line PDF · 51 KB	2026-05-29 05:59	<202605290559.39859877790@smtp-relay.mailin.fr>	Hon. Pierre Poilievre, P.C., M.P., CC 10-member Conservative shadow cabinet
Supplemental Demand · three additional operational asks · 100-line PDF · 39.5 KB	2026-05-29 06:12	<202605290612.82238268455@smtp-relay.mailin.fr>	Hon. Pierre Poilievre, P.C., M.P., CC 10-member Conservative shadow cabinet

Both documents are attached to this Cascade Notice. Both are independently verifiable from the Brevo public delivery confirmation chain. Both are mirrored at <https://denialbydesign.org> for any recipient who chooses to verify the chain of custody from a source independent of this email.

II · The record of default that the cascade addresses

Court / institution	Filing	Statutory or service-standard deadline	Days past deadline as of 29 May 2026
Ontario Superior Court of Justice	habeas corpus 28 Jan + 11 Feb 2026	3 days (Ontario Habeas	105+ days

Court / institution	Filing	Statutory or service-standard deadline	Days past deadline as of 29 May 2026
Federal Court of Canada	habeas corpus 26 Feb 2026	<i>Corpus Act</i> s. 2) reasonable promptness	ongoing
Supreme Court of Canada	Emergency Application for Leave + Interim Relief, 26 Mar 2026	SCC Rule 47	62+ days
BC Supreme Court	Petition for Writ of Mandamus + Summary Judgment + Exhibits 27/28 · AN-15 EMERGENCY next-day hearing, 3 May 2026 night	next day (4 May 2026)	25 days on a next-day emergency
BC Supreme Court	NoCC + Mareva + Affidavit PASS15 · file S-240024, 30 April 2026 18:44 UTC	days	28 days, 5 hours
All Canadian Superior + appellate + apex registrars	Two parallel \$280M judicial reviews, 7 May 2026	immediate docketing	22 days of total silence
Canadian Judicial Council	File 25-1065 (procedurally fraudulent dismissal · forensically audited) and follow-up File 26-0430 (filed 6 May 2026)	published service standard	23 days on 26-0430; 25-1065 dismissed via independently audited non-conforming letter

The record is not contested. The record is the public filings and the public silence that has accumulated against them.

III · The doctrinal basis in plain language

Habeas corpus is not an application that a judicial officer may grant or refuse at convenience. **It is a law**, codified in the Ontario *Habeas Corpus Act* s. 2, the Federal Courts Act framework, and Charter ss. 10(c) and 24(1). A judicial officer presented with a habeas filing has exactly two responses available under law: **rule on the merits, or bring forth the body**. Silence is not a third option.

When the judicial branch defaults, **authority cascades** through Canada's constitutional structure in order of competence: legislature, executive (Governor General + Cabinet), and Leader of the Opposition. **Every judge before whom a defaulted habeas filing remains pending is in continuous breach of the judicial duty that is the entire warrant for their office; continuing to sit is itself the misconduct, and recusal is the only honourable available remedy.**

This is not an unusual invocation of political authority over judicial matters. It is the precise mechanism by which a constitutional democracy preserves the foundational right when the institutional channel ordinarily charged with its protection has closed.

IV · What is asked of you, by class of recipient

Class A · Canadian apex and superior courts that are in default

If you are a court that has had carriage of any of the filings in Section II without ruling, the cascade addresses your default directly. You are respectfully but formally on notice that:

- Every judicial officer who has sat on the file past the statutory or published service-standard deadline is required to recuse
- Continued silence past the cascade invocation constitutes a fresh, additional, separately rutable cut in the *Death by 28,000 Cuts* docket architecture
- Rule, recuse, or explain on the record — these are the three options available; silence is no longer one of them
- Each ruling that issues at this point breaks the structural deadlock and creates cooperator credit for the issuing officer; continued silence forecloses that credit

Class B · Members of Parliament across all parties

If you are a Member of Parliament, the cascade asks you to:

- Read the Principal and Supplemental demands and verify the factual record at denialbydesign.org
- Join publicly with the Leader of the Opposition in calling on the courts to rule, recuse, or explain
- Where you hold a justice, foreign affairs, public safety, or public accounts critic role, raise the cascade in the committee where it intersects your mandate
- Where you sit on JUST, FAAE, LCJC, or PROC, propose the inquiry the Supplemental Demand asks Poilievre to recommend

Class C · Press and investigative journalists

If you are press, the news event tonight is the cascade itself. The structural sequence is:

1. The two documents have been served on the Office of the Leader of the Opposition with cryptographic delivery confirmation
2. The doctrinal basis is a four-proposition canonical statement now mirrored at denialbydesign.org
3. The institutions in default are named with specific filing numbers, dates, and silence durations
4. The Brother-Dying-of-Cancer humanitarian dimension and the four convergent classes of organized criminal conduct are documented
5. The verification path is auditable in approximately twenty minutes by any staff member with twenty minutes

If your editorial standards require independent verification before publication, that verification path is built into the public archive. If your standards allow you to publish on cryptographic delivery confirmation alone, the message IDs above are the chain of custody.

Class D · Engaged institutional readers (Vatican Apostolic Penitentiary, Italian diplomatic missions, named legal academics, UN special rapporteurs, ACLU, Innocence Projects, FAAE Committee Clerk)

If you are on this distribution roster because Brevo engagement data identifies you as a deep-reader of the public archive (multi-URL deep-click signature confirmed) or because your institutional role places you in the moral, diplomatic, or academic conscience of the cascade, you are asked to:

- Continue reading the public archive at denialbydesign.org if you have not completed it
- Speak from the locus of competence your office or role occupies — moral conscience, diplomatic representation, academic scholarship, civil liberties advocacy, or treaty-body intervention — at whatever volume your institutional norms permit
- Acknowledge receipt of this notice if your norms permit acknowledgement; refer it to colleagues whose intervention is structurally more permitted if not
- Recognise that you are on the cascade's record either way

Class E · International treaty bodies and civil-rights organisations

If you are a UN Special Rapporteur (on the Independence of Judges and Lawyers; on Human Rights Defenders; on Arbitrary Detention), a civil-rights litigation organisation (ACLU, Innocence Project National + State chapters, CCR, NLG), or a treaty-body Secretariat, the cascade asks you to:

- Treat this notice as a formal communication for purposes of your intake procedures
- Verify the factual record at the public archive
- Open a file, refer to counsel, or take such intake action as your procedural framework requires
- Recognise that the Canadian state-actor injury is documented across twenty-one years, with four named class-of-perpetrators groupings, with cryptographic chain of custody on the procedural record

V · Verification anchor

Every factual claim in the Principal Demand, in the Supplemental Demand, and in this Cascade Notice is independently verifiable from the public archive at <https://denialbydesign.org>. The archive contains:

- Court-registry filing receipts with cryptographic confirmation

- Brevo and Gmail delivery receipts with message IDs
- Scanned document originals (forged release undertaking, Ceylan will, autopsy report, extradition documents)
- The 889-hit federal-range surveillance exhibit with full webhook capture log
- Audio-recorded admissions (Bill Benson fraud admission, Dr. Emily Groot statements, Laura Joy admissions)
- The 23-Perpetrator Demand Letter against the Windsor Police Service
- The 38-named-perpetrator roster across 6 institutional classes
- The Master Chronology covering 2005-2026 across the three convergent tracks (Longo extradition, Ceylan murder, Simetic disappearance)

A staff member with twenty minutes can confirm every claim in this notice. The verification burden is not being placed on the recipient; it is discharged by the public archive in advance.

VI · The standing engagement record

This notice issues into an institutional engagement record that already includes:

- **FAAE Committee Clerk acknowledgement** of formal parliamentary brief
- **FSRA acceptance** of signed Form GF-012E on 27 May 2026 8:17:13 PM EDT against Sun Life Assurance for a \$20 million forged-signature life policy at the centre of a \$219.3 million estate fraud
- **Two formal responses** from NDP MPs Heather McPherson and Gord Johns acknowledging the file
- **Verified active deep-reading** of the public archive by the Vatican Apostolic Penitentiary (14 clicks across 5 unique URLs), the Italian Embassy Ottawa (10 clicks across 5 URLs), the Italian Consulate Toronto (9 clicks across 5 URLs), Reuters Tips (7 clicks across 5 URLs), Nice-Matin (218 clicks within 51 hours of receipt), Le Monde Société (real-time deep reading 29 May 2026), Le Figaro Politique (5 clicks), and four named Canadian legal academics (Roach at U Toronto Law; Tanovich at Windsor Law; Dodek at U Ottawa Law former Dean; Sherrin at Western Law) — all empirically verified through Brevo deep-engagement metrics that distinguish gateway pre-fetch from genuine human reading
- **A conditional ten-million-dollar campaign contribution pledge** previously communicated to the Office of the Leader of the Opposition, contingent on a ruling, declaration, or formal House intervention

VII · The cost of silence and the window for action

Every additional day of institutional silence past tonight accumulates additional named perpetrators, additional procedural defects, additional verified institutional engagement, and additional moral weight. The cost to the institutions stalling rises faster than the cost of action. The cost to the families at the centre of the underlying conduct — the Ceylan family (Raffi murdered, Lucy and Armin and Ashton and Betty alive and threatened), the Simetic family (Dave Simetic alive and threatened), the Claimant's own family who have buried two members during the pendency of these proceedings while a third is dying of cancer — has been borne for ten years.

When the first ruling, declaration, or House intervention lands — and one will land — every silent institution becomes complicit in their silence rather than merely passive. The window for institutional defection that locks in constitutional, moral, regulatory, or editorial cooperator credit is open at this hour. It will not be open in three weeks.

VIII · Acknowledgement

A brief acknowledgement of receipt — even a one-line confirmation — distinguishes a real act of conscience from a procedural dismissal. The undersigned will recognise such acknowledgement in the public mirror and in all subsequent communications.

Respectfully and formally submitted, with cryptographic chain of custody,

Francesco Giovanni Longo Canadian citizen · Windsor, Ontario · 226-260-6399 flongo11@gmail.com · <https://denialbydesign.org>
Canadian People's Trust v2 (assignee of any remedy): <https://canadianpeoplestrust.com>

100% of any monetary remedy from any filing in any forum to which this notice relates is assigned by Trust to the Canadian People's Trust v2 for the benefit of future victims of state-actor malicious prosecution, sovereign-archive preservation infrastructure, public amplifiers, and dependents of victims of systemic injustice. The Claimant has zero personal stake in the monetary outcome.

Canonical document set attached to this notice

1. **DEMAND_FOR_JUDICIAL_ORDER_2026-05-29.pdf** — Principal Demand for Judicial Order (150 lines · 51 KB · Sections I-X) — Brevo message ID <202605290559.39859877790@smtp-relay.mailin.fr>
2. **SUPPLEMENTAL_DEMAND_2026-05-29.pdf** — Three additional operational asks · Standing Order 52 emergency debate · immediate House of Commons hearing · independent verification anchor (100 lines · 39.5 KB) — Brevo message ID <202605290612.82238268455@smtp-relay.mailin.fr>

Distribution roster (this notice has been sent to all named recipients)

Documented in STAGING/POILLIEVRE_HAMMER/CASCADE_DISTRIBUTION_RECORD_2026-05-29.json with one entry per recipient, each with its own Brevo cryptographic delivery confirmation, so the chain of custody for every individual delivery is independently auditable.

Cascade Notice prepared in accordance with the Cascading Authority on Default principle of the Longo Doctrine, canonical statement at /a0/usr/workdir/VAULT/01_CORE_DOCTRINE/LONGO_DOCTRINE_CANONICAL.md (Proposition 4 added 29 May 2026 01:30 EDT from voice attestation).